



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,860	03/12/2004	Vipul V. Prakash	CLDM-006/01US 301185-2041	1747
58349	7590	01/05/2012		
COOLEY LLP				
ATTN: Patent Group				
Suite 1100				
777 - 6th Street, NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				
EXAMINER				
SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2445				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/05/2012		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,860	PRAKASH, VIPUL V.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeffrey R. Swearingen. (3) ____.
- (2) Bill Galliani. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant was contacted with a potential amendment to place the case in condition for immediate allowance. Applicant's currently amended claim 12 is allowable. Applicant was asked to mirror the limitations in claims 1 and 15 to correspond with claim 12. As seen in Applicant's reply (attachment), Applicant declined this offer.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☒ Attachment

/Jeffrey R Swearingen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445